

ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT¹ YEAR 2019



*Empowered lives.
Resilient nations.*

A. BASIC INFORMATION

Project ID / Output ID	00112293 / <i>Output ID</i>	Reporting Date:	1/9/2020
Full Title:	Supporting DBM-PS to accelerate Development through Institutional Reform and Civic Engagement (DRIVE)		
Start Date:	8/20/2019	Completion Date (and approved extension, if any):	8/29/2022
Total Project Fund (and fund revisions, if any):	USD 131,313	Annual Project Fund: AWP Budget (2019)	USD 46,453.77
Implementing Partner:	UNDP		
Donor/s:	DBM-Procurement Service		
Responsible Parties:	N/A		
Project Description	This project aims to support Department of Budget Management – Procurement Service (DBM-PS) through the UNDP National Acceleration Modality to i) accelerate the delivery of services to the public ii) train citizens to monitor the integrity and effectiveness of the delivery service delivery process itself, and iii) build public financial management capacity so that after the duration of the project, DBM-PS and the national government agencies will be able to operate effectively and independently.		
Target Group	National Government Agencies.		

B. INDICATIVE/EMERGING RESULTS OF THE PROJECT and LESSONS LEARNED

B.1 CPD Outcome alignment	1: The most marginalized, vulnerable, and at-risk people and groups benefit from inclusive and quality services and live in a supportive environment wherein their nutrition, food security, and health are ensured/protected.
B.2 CPD Output indicator alignment <i>[Choose between 1-3 applicable indicators]</i>	<i>1.2.1 Number of UNDP-assisted NGAs and LGUs implementing reforms and innovations for delivery and monitoring of services, public finance management, or public procurement.</i> <i>1.3.1 Number of individuals and institutions engaged in NGAs and LGUs through UNDP-supported civic engagement mechanisms</i>
- <i>Procurement support DBM-PS for the procurement of medical goods to a national government agency.</i>	

¹ UNDP CO Template for project Annual Progress Reporting; Updated: September 2018.
Deadlines: Draft APR due November 30th and Final APR due January 6th of the following year.

B.3 SP Output Alignment	N/A
--------------------------------	-----

B.4 Top three key results achieved in 2019

Key result: Successful demonstration of the UNDP National Acceleration Modality to DBM-PS with the delivery of medical equipment to the Philippine Sports Commission.

B.5 Lessons learned and ways forward

Before the delivery of goods, a meeting was held to clearly define the roles and responsibilities in the development of technical specifications, conduct of procurement, and delivery of goods to the end user. This ensured a speedy delivery process that demonstrated the value of the National Acceleration Modality, which can now serve as evidence to a full programme for DBM-PS.

C. TECHNICAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Evidence-based reporting – include relevant reports/publications and/or photo-documentation (description, date, location) as an annex.
- Quarterly financial performance is reported in the FACE Form. Please ensure consistency of technical accomplishments with the submitted Quarter FACE form and the AWP.
- Interim annual financial performance data is reported in the APR.

EXPECTED OUTPUTS								
Output 1. Services Delivered to the Public.								
OUTPUT NARRATIVE								
Under the initiation plan, UNDP aimed to deliver Php 3,559,314.00 worth of medical supplies to a national government agency to demonstrate the value of the National Acceleration Modality.								
Project Output Indicator/s ²	Baseline		Annual Result ³	Annual Target (Annual)	Cumulative Result (from Start Year)	Cumulative Target (from Start Year)	End-of-Project Target	
	2019				Start year: 2019	Start year: 2019	End year: 2022	
1. Number of successfully demonstrated cases of UNDP's NAM made to DBM-PS.	2019	0	1	1	1	1	1	
Physical Performance			Financial Performance				REMARKS	
Activity/Sub-Activity Description	Activity Target ⁴	Accomplishment for the Year	Status of Activity ⁵	Planned Budget	Donor and Budget Code	Expenditure <i>Expense + commitment + advances</i>		Delivery Rate <i>(cumulative expenditure / planned budget) *100</i>
Planned Activity 1.1 Procurement of urgent goods (1 st round).	1	1	GREEN: Completed	USD 46453.77	30084	USD 44049.52	95%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain if expenditure and budget deviation exceeds 10% • Mention bottlenecks and plans to address them • Explain why activity indicator targets were not met

² Please ensure consistency with ProDoc and AWP indicators.

³ Use traffic light to indicate progress vis-à-vis annual output targets in AWP: **Green** (Completed), **Yellow** (Ongoing), **Red** (Delayed/Not started). Data provided can be qualitative or quantitative based on the nature of the output indicator [UNDP PHL CO Data Clean-up Guidelines].

⁴ Specify units, e.g., number of trainings, number of participants, number of representations, etc.

⁵ Use traffic light to indicate progress vis-à-vis timelines assigned for planned activities.

EXPECTED OUTPUTS Output 2. PFM Capacity of Government Built to Deliver Public Services Effectively and Independently							
OUTPUT NARRATIVE UNDP aims to build the capacity of the government by assessing the capacity of department and developing a PFM assistance plan tied to the PFM roadmap							
Project Output Indicator/s ⁶	Baseline		Annual Result ⁷	Annual Target (Annual)	Cumulative Result (from Start Year)	Cumulative Target (from Start Year)	End-of-Project Target
	2019	0	0	0	Start year: 2019	Start year: 2019	End year: 2022
1. PFM Capacity Assessment and PFM Plan	2019	0	0	0	0	0	0
EXPECTED OUTPUTS Output 3 . Citizens Monitoring to Assist Government in ensuring service delivery							
OUTPUT NARRATIVE <i>Under the initiation plan, a third party monitoring system shall be established to ensure the quality of goods and services would meet the defined qualifications</i>							
Project Output Indicator/s ⁸	Baseline		Annual Result ⁹	Annual Target (Annual)	Cumulative Result (from Start Year)	Cumulative Target (from Start Year)	End-of-Project Target
	2019	0	0	0	Start year: 2019	Start year: 2019	End year: 2022
1. Number of MCGAs and MOAs with CSOs, etc.	2019	0	0	0	0	0	0

D. PARTNERSHIPS

Name of Partner	Type	Description of partnership and how it has contributed to project results or sustainability
Department of Budget and Management Procurement Service	Government agency	Donor

Was South-South and Triangular Cooperation promoted and utilized through the project?

- Yes
 No

⁶ Please ensure consistency with ProDoc and AWP indicators.

⁷ Use traffic light to indicate progress vis-à-vis annual output targets in AWP: **Green** (Completed), **Yellow** (Ongoing), **Red** (Delayed/Not started). Data provided can be qualitative or quantitative based on the nature of the output indicator [UNDP PHL CO Data Clean-up Guidelines].

⁸ Please ensure consistency with ProDoc and AWP indicators.

⁹ Use traffic light to indicate progress vis-à-vis annual output targets in AWP: **Green** (Completed), **Yellow** (Ongoing), **Red** (Delayed/Not started). Data provided can be qualitative or quantitative based on the nature of the output indicator [UNDP PHL CO Data Clean-up Guidelines].

If yes, briefly explain how. List down countries engaged.	[500 characters]
---	------------------

E. INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION, EDUCATION, AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

IEC/Knowledge Product Produced in 2016	Type	Date Published/Produced	Target audience	Link (if available)
N/A	Select type.	Click here to enter date.		
	Select type.	Click here to enter date.		

Was the project cited/quoted/featured in media reports/articles? <i>If yes, please provide link to article/video.</i>	
---	--

F. ACTIONS TAKEN REGARDING AUDIT AND/OR SPOT CHECK FINDINGS

Describe actions taken to address the findings from the audit/spot check as applicable.

Audit/Spot Check Recommendation/s	Action Taken	Responsible Person	Implementation Date
N/A			Click here to enter date.
			Click here to enter date.

G. RISK LOG UPDATE

#	Description	Type	Impact & Probability	Countermeasures / Mngt response	Owner
1	Specifications from partner government agency may not be able to produce specifications.	Political	P = 4 I = 4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> UNDP to provide technical support to development of specifications to be approved by government. 	UNDP ●
2	Armed conflict may breakout in project site areas.	Security	P = 3 I = 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project site selection criteria to include assessment of political risk. Project site list to include 130% total coverage to allow flexibility in cases first priority sites not possible. Close coordination with DILG, AFP and PNP to monitor security situation. 	GoP-UNDP ●
3	Procurement delays due to lack of qualified bidders.	Organisational	P=2 I=3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A market study as well as area-based assessment will be conducted to ensure that the final TORs provide for the most feasible solution that the market is capable of offering for project sites. Alternate modalities will be used (community approach, partnership with NGOs (international and national) to compensate for areas where there are no qualified bidders. 	UNDP ●
4	Procurement bids exceed the budget.	Organisational	P=2 I=4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government and UNDP to seek a resolution, whether this would be government providing additional funding to cover the shortfall or lowering of targets. 	GoP-UNDP ●
5	Poor Weather Conditions delay delivery.	Geography	P=3 I=2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10% Contingency budget provided or contract extensions. GoP to facilitate contract extension. 	GoP-UNDP ●

H. MONITORING & EVALUATION

Total Spent on Monitoring in Reporting Year <i>Guidance: Costs associated with UNDP/project staff, consultants, project partners, supporting national statistical systems in designing project specific data collection methodologies (qualitative and quantitative), monitoring methods including stakeholder surveys and other qualitative methods, collection of data, analysis and dissemination of the findings to inform a project, either with project partners or to fulfill specific UNDP/project requirements (preferably the former).</i>	0	Total spent on Decentralized Evaluations in Reporting Year (Mid Term / Final) <i>Guidance: Costs associated in designing, implementing and disseminating evaluations for specific projects</i>	0
Is the project's M&E Plan being adequately implemented? Are progress data against indicators in the project's RRF being reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the M&E Plan?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

I. QUALITY OF RESULTS

Please answer when applicable to the project of concern.

Sustainability: <i>Do the benefits of the achieved results have potential to last? What does the project plan to do to ensure sustainability?</i>	As the project is still in the initiation phase, it has not had the chance to make a substantive development impact.
National Capacity: <i>Did the project help strengthen national institutions?</i>	
Civic Engagement: <i>Please select the type of civic engagement promoted [Select all applicable]N/A</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Civic engagement in policy and legislative processes <input type="checkbox"/> Civic engagement to promote accountability of state institutions <input type="checkbox"/> Civic engagement for service delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Civic engagement for advocacy and/or to raise awareness and promote social norm/behaviour change
Youth Opportunities: <i>How did the project support youth in contributing to sustainable human development and peace? [Select all applicable]</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Supported youth civic engagement and political participation <input type="checkbox"/> Supported youth economic empowerment <input type="checkbox"/> Supported youth as agents for community resilience and peacebuilding <input type="checkbox"/> Supported the involvement of young people as partners in SDG implementation, monitoring and accountability

J. INNOVATION

Were innovation initiatives implemented in the project?

What innovative methods were applied or tested?	<input type="checkbox"/> Alternative Finance (including Social Impact Investment/Pay for Success) <input type="checkbox"/> Behavioural Insights <input type="checkbox"/> Blockchain <input type="checkbox"/> Challenge Prizes <input type="checkbox"/> Crowdsourcing <input type="checkbox"/> Crowdfunding <input type="checkbox"/> Foresight <input type="checkbox"/> Games for Social Good <input type="checkbox"/> Hackathon <input type="checkbox"/> Human-Centered Design	<input type="checkbox"/> Innovation Camp <input type="checkbox"/> Innovation Lab <input type="checkbox"/> Micronarratives <input type="checkbox"/> Mobile-Based Feedback Mechanism <input type="checkbox"/> Positive Deviance <input type="checkbox"/> New and Emerging Data (including Big Data) <input type="checkbox"/> Randomized Controlled-Trial/Parallel Testing <input type="checkbox"/> Real-Time Monitoring <input type="checkbox"/> Remote Sensing/Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)
---	---	--

K. MAINSTREAMING GENDER EQUALITY

Incorporation of gender perspectives in various outputs and activities by giving emphasis on gender-sensitive concerns especially in leadership roles, decision-making processes, capacity-building and protection of women, including the children and elderly

UNDP Gender Marker [link]	GEN0
---	------

1. Classification of Gender responsiveness¹⁰

Classification of gender-responsiveness: Project Implementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) <i>Select one</i>		A: Project is gender-responsive (15.0-20.0)
		B: Project is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9)
		C: Project has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9)
	X	D: Gender and development (GAD) is invisible in the proposed project (0-3.9)

2. Qualitative description

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In Governance Mechanisms <i>The full project board has not yet been convened.</i>
--

¹⁰ Scoring based on Box 16 and 17 of the Harmonized Gender and Development Guidelines on Project Development, Implementation, Monitoring, and Evaluation, 2nd ed. (download [here](#)).

- In Capacity Building and Policy, Planning and Programming N/A
- Women's Empowerment Key Results N/A

3. Gender issues

No	Gender issues identified	How the project is addressing identified gender issues
1		
2		

4. Disaggregation of data of Beneficiaries/Participants of Activities conducted under the Project

Project Activities	Number of beneficiaries/participants	Gender disaggregation	Remarks (if any)
Activity 1.1 Procurement of urgent goods (1 st round).	1 national government agency.	N/A	

Prepared by: Karen Dominique Brillantes Signature:  Date: 10 January 2020
Project Manager/Coordinator

Noted by: Maria Luisa Isabel Jolongbayan Signature: _____ Date: _____
Programme Team Leader
UNDP